

LOCAL MENTION.

THE WEATHER.

Forecast Till 8 p.m. Wednesday.
For the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia, Va.: warmer; winds shifting to south-easterly and increasing to fresh and brisk on the coast Wednesday.

Condition of the Water.

Temperature and condition of water at 8 a.m.: Great Falls, temperature, 49; condition, 13; receiving reservoir, temperature, 54; condition at north connection, 13; condition at south connection, 36; distributing reservoir, temperature, 54; condition at inlet gate house, 15; outlet gate house, 13.

Tides Tomorrow.

High tide. Low tide.
1:06 a.m. 1:46 p.m. 7:57 a.m. 8:23 p.m.

Mary, where did you get such elegant candy? It is equal to Buckingham's.
It is Buckingham's. Did you not know he had opened again at 515 11th st. n.w., and selling his 50c. candy at 40c., and his 40c. at 25c. per pound.

To all those who are in a state of weakness or fatigue from work, or from whatever cause, Bugeaud's Wine will give strength and vigor. To be had of the principal druggists.

We will make for a few days a special sale of Cook's Balm of Life at 50c. Positively cures indigestion, dyspepsia, inflammation, external and internal diseases. ASTON PHARMACY, 11th and G sts.

FOUND AT LAST—A place to get PURE and WHOLESOME CANDIES at popular prices, at Buckingham's, 515 11th st. n.w.

The Great Rush still continues at the Empire Carpet Cleaning Works, 425 Massachusetts ave. Telephone 425. Carpets made moth-proof free of charge.

CITY AND DISTRICT.

AMUSEMENTS TONIGHT.

Albough's Grand Opera House.—Robt. Gaylor in "Sport McAllister."

New National Theater.—"Across the Potomac."

Academy of Music.—Miss Julia Marlowe in "The Love Chase."

Harris' Bijou Theater.—"Outcasts of a Great City."

Kernan's Lyceum Theater.—"Hyde's Comedy."

Wonderland, 7th street between D and E streets northwest.—Entertainments daily and nights.

Lincoln Relics, 515 10th st. n.w.—Open daily except Sunday, 9 to 12 a.m. and 1 to 4 p.m. Monday and Friday, 8 to 10 p.m.

Metzger's Music Hall.—Musical and Lecture.

EXCURSIONS TOMORROW.

Mount Vernon.—Macalester leaves at 10 a.m.

Tally-ho coach line from 511 13th street at 10 a.m. and 2 p.m. to Arlington. Cabin John bridge and all points of interest.

MORPHINE AND DRINK.

They Cause the Death of Young Walter Fink.

Policemen O'Brien and McGrann of the third precinct, answered a call for assistance at the residence of Mr. J. J. Fink, No. 1124 New Hampshire avenue, about 11:45 o'clock last night, and Mrs. Fink asked them to take from the house her brother-in-law, Walter Fink, whom she thought was under the influence of liquor. He had been drinking and Mrs. Fink's husband was not at home, and she thought the police had better care for him during the night. She did not want him about the house, as she feared there would be trouble. The patrol wagon was sent for and the young man was taken in charge by the officers. He was in a terrible condition, partly due to the use of whisky and partly from the effects of morphine.

"You had better kiss me good-bye," said the young man, as the officers led him from the house. "This is the last time you will see me alive."

Seeing the young man was suffering from the effects of the morphine which he said he had taken, the officers conveyed him to the Emergency Hospital instead of to the police station. There the physicians saw that the unfortunate man could not recover, but they did all in their power to relieve him. He died about 5:30 o'clock this morning. Deceased was about twenty years old. He lived at the house of his brother, but he had been drinking and was in New York on business just now. He has been notified of his brother's death. He will take the coroner's view of the body and after learning the facts decided that as it was a plain case of suicide an inquest was unnecessary.

POLICE FINANCES.

Chief Clerk Sylvester Makes an Interesting Report Yesterday.

Chief Clerk Sylvester of the police department has submitted his annual report to Maj. Moore. In his report he says:

In 1884 the police force numbered 247 members, while in 1893 the full complement was 433 men. As a consequence there was a corresponding increase in the clerical requirements. This was especially evidenced in the additional cases being transacted by the trial board, their number in 1884 being 88, and in the year 1893, for some years the one assistant allowed me by law, a stenographer, was enabled to divide his duties, transacting, in addition to his shorthand work, the full portion that would devolve upon a clerk, but in late years the taking of testimony, and writing out of the same, besides other demands of a like nature, have required his full time and attention. There the clerical labor at headquarters has been transacted by myself and three policemen, detailed from precinct duty for that purpose. As follows:

Police roll of department.....\$25,703.04

Police Court fines deposited.....21,567.70

Fines and rewards collected.....1,434.34

Property clerk's sales.....197.68

Charity expenditures.....12,502.05

Police relief expenditures.....7,684.71

Verification of department expenses.....498.79

Police Relief Association.....19,794.32

Fines and rewards collected.....7,531.90

Property of property clerk.....36,908.93

Total.....\$107,433.39

In 1883 they had assumed more formidable proportions, as follows:

Police roll of department.....\$48,077.27

Police Court fines deposited.....40,167.75

Fines and rewards collected.....1,434.34

Property clerk's sales.....197.68

Charity expenditures.....12,502.05

Police relief expenditures.....7,684.71

Verification of department expenses.....498.79

Police Relief Association.....19,794.32

Fines and rewards collected.....7,531.90

Property of property clerk.....36,908.93

Total.....\$107,433.39

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

During the year 1893 there were received in collections from fines, rewards and lost and stolen property were as follows:

Amount received.....\$36,080.87; delivered to owner by order of the court, \$2,028.83.

PRESCRIPTIONS NECESSARY.

To Obtain Intoxicating Drinks From Druggists and Apothecaries.

Mr. Thomas Says Druggists Cannot Take Out Wholesale Licenses—The Law on the Subject.

The attorney for the District holds in an opinion rendered to the Commissioners today that druggists and apothecaries are forbidden by section 11 of the new license law to sell intoxicating liquors except upon the prescription of a physician, and that they are not permitted to take out a wholesale license under the 8th section of the act, above referred to.

This opinion was called for by the Commissioners several days ago.

Mr. Thomas says, speaking of the law on the subject:

"The language of this section, it seems to me, excludes the idea that druggists are entitled to take out licenses under section 8 of said act. Druggists are authorized by the 11th section of the act to sell intoxicating liquors for medical purposes, but upon no other condition. They are required to keep a record of their sales of liquor specifying the names of the persons to whom sold, and the prices obtained, and this record, it is declared, shall be at all times open to the inspection of the excise board."

"Such a thing is not required of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"

"This is not a restriction of wholesale or retail dealers licensed under the eighth section of the act, thus showing conclusively that it was the intention of Congress to restrict druggists in the sale of intoxicating liquors to such sales as shall be for medical purposes. If there was any doubt on this point it would be removed by the language in the same section, 'and any failure to comply with the provisions herein section shall render such druggist or apothecary so failing, liable to the same penalties as if he had sold intoxicating liquors without a license.'"